

**GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**Council Chambers**  
**5850 West Glendale Avenue**  
**June 28, 2005**

**7 PM**

**CALL TO ORDER - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**APPROVAL OF THE [MINUTES OF JUNE 14, 2005](#)**

**PROCLAMATIONS AND AWARDS**

[BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS](#)

[RECOGNITION OF STUDENTS FOR "RECYCLING IS FUN" DESIGN-A-SIGN CONTEST](#)

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**Items on the consent agenda are of a routine nature or have been previously studied by the City Council at a work session. They are intended to be acted upon in one motion. If you would like to comment on an item on the consent agenda, please come to the podium and state your name, address and item you wish to discuss.**

1. [2005-06 PERFORMING ARTS GRANTS](#)
2. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-997 TAILGATERS SPORTS BAR & GRILL](#)
3. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-999 CYPRESS LOUNGE](#)
4. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1000 SWIZZLE STICK](#)
5. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1001 ZANG ASIAN BISTRO](#)
6. [CHANGE ORDER – DOWNTOWN PLAZA, BEAD MUSEUM & CIVIC CENTER ANNEX RENOVATIONS](#)
7. [EMERGENCY SERVICES CONTRACTS – SEWER LINE REPAIR AND STREET RECONSTRUCTION](#)
8. [REIMBURSEMENT TO CITY OF PHOENIX FOR SEWER RELOCATION](#)
9. [CATERING SERVICES AT THE GLENDALE CIVIC CENTER](#)

10. [AWARD OF RFP 05-13 – SPECIAL EVENT RENTALS](#)

**CONSENT RESOLUTIONS**

11. [INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR 2004 STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT](#)

**PUBLIC HEARING – LAND DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS**

12. [REZONING APPLICATION ZON04-18 – 21432 NORTH 75<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE](#)

**BIDS AND CONTRACTS**

13. [PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE ONE](#)

14. [CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK AGREEMENT – PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE ONE](#)

**ORDINANCES**

15. [CONSTRUCTION CODE REVISIONS](#)

**PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCES**

16. [FISCAL YEAR 2005-06 PROPERTY TAX LEVY](#)

**PUBLIC HEARING – RESOLUTIONS**

17. [FINAL REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2005-06 OPERATING AND FISCAL YEAR 2006-2015 CAPITAL BUDGETS](#)

**REQUEST FOR FUTURE WORKSHOP AND EXECUTIVE SESSION**

**CITIZEN COMMENTS**

**If you wish to speak on a matter concerning Glendale city government that is not on the printed agenda, please fill out a Citizen Comments Card located in the back of the Council Chambers and give it to the City Clerk before the meeting starts. The City Council can only act on those matters which are on the printed agenda, but may refer the matter to the City Manager for follow up. Once your name is called by the Mayor, proceed to the podium, state your name and address for the record and limit your comments to a period of five minutes or less.**

**COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS**

## **ADJOURNMENT**

Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.1);
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.2);
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.3);
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.4);
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.5); or
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.7).

**CALL TO ORDER - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**APPROVAL OF THE [MINUTES OF JUNE 14, 2005](#)**

**PROCLAMATIONS AND AWARDS**

**[BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS](#)**

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to approve the recommended appointments to the following boards and commissions that have a vacancy or expired term and for the Mayor to administer the oath of office to those appointees in attendance.

<u>Expiration Date</u>			<u>Effective Date</u>
<b><u>Arts Commission</u></b>			
Frank Eager 08/24/2007	Cholla	Re-appointment	08/24/2005
Aviation Advisory Commission			
Carl Dietzman 11/24/2006	Ocotillo	Appointment	06/28/2005
<b><u>Bicycle Advisory Committee</u></b>			
Keith Cyrnek 07/18/2007	Ocotillo	Re-appointment	07/18/2005
Michael J. Wood 07/17/2006 At-Large (Barrel)	(Sahuaro) Chair	Re-appointment	07/17/2005
<b><u>Board of Adjustment</u></b>			
Hugh R. Leonardo 06/30/2007	Cholla	Appointment	06/30/2005
Moises Gallegos 06/30/2006	Chair	Appointment	06/30/2005
Yvonne Knaack 06/30/2006	Sahuaro Vice Chair	Appointment	06/30/2005
	Barrel		

**Citizen's Advisory Commission on Neighborhoods**

Larry Flatau 06/30/2007	Chair	Appointment	06/30/2005
DeLoy Salazar 06/30/2006	Barrel At-Large (Cholla)	Appointment	06/28/2005

**Citizen's Transportation Oversight Commission**

Neva Johnson 07/25/2007	Ocotillo	Re-Appointment	07/25/2005
----------------------------	----------	----------------	------------

**Commission On Persons With Disabilities**

Camille Donley 06/28/2007	Cholla	Appointment	06/28/2005
------------------------------	--------	-------------	------------

**Community Development Advisory Committee**

Rebecca Ontiveros 09/23/2006	CAP Board	Appointment	06/28/2005
Dolores Ronquillo 07/01/2007	Ocotillo CAP Board Ocotillo	Re-appointment	07/01/2005

**Historic Preservation Commission**

Carl Jordan 06/28/2006	Chair Barrel	Appointment	06/28/2005
---------------------------	-----------------	-------------	------------

**Housing Advisory Commission**

Donnell Gentry 07/01/2007	At-Large (Ocotillo)	Re-appointment	07/01/2005
Chair	Re-appointment	07/01/2005	07/01/2006
Madelin Page 07/01/2007 (Barrel)	At-Large (Ocotillo)	Re-appointment	07/01/2005
Vice Chair At-Large (Barrel)	Re-appointment	07/01/2005	07/01/2006



family home. The key to a lasting program in the community is to encourage children to learn about recycling and participate in the program. Thousands of children have taken tours of the Glendale Materials Recovery Facility where the recyclable material is baled and sold. The prominent display of children's artwork reaches out to the next generation of recyclers thereby helping to reinforce recycling.

**Recommendation:** Present Beverly Nelson (age 6), Blanca Ruiz (age 7), Arian Dragos (age 8), and Cormac Assink (age 9) certificates of recognition as the four entries selected for the Design-A-Sign contest

## CONSENT AGENDA

**Items on the consent agenda are of a routine nature or have been previously studied by the City Council at a work session. They are intended to be acted upon in one motion. If you would like to comment on an item on the consent agenda, please come to the podium and state your name, address and item you wish to discuss.**

### 1. [2005-06 PERFORMING ARTS GRANTS](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to approve the recommended 2005-06 Performing Arts Grants that will support 13 arts projects in the community.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** By ordinance, the Glendale Arts Commission makes recommendations to the City Council on public art acquisitions and awarding performing arts grants.

**Background:** The grant program is now in its 10<sup>th</sup> year. Sixteen grant applications, totaling \$38,900, were submitted to the Arts Commission by its April 1, 2005 deadline.

Grant applications were reviewed at the Arts Commission's May 18, 2005 meeting. Applications were reviewed in accordance with the following evaluation criteria: 1) artistic quality, 2) ability to serve the needs of the community, 3) managerial and administrative ability of the applicant organization, 4) history of the applicant organization in producing, presenting or serving the arts, and 5) appropriateness of the project budget.

The Arts Commission recommends 13 grants totaling \$31,400 for funding:  
\$2,500 – Arizona Arts Chorale, collaborative concert with Arizona Winds at Midwestern University;  
\$2,500 – Ballet Arizona, outdoor concert at Historic Sahuaro Ranch;  
\$2,500 – Center Dance Ensemble, performances at four elementary schools in the Glendale Elementary School District: William C. Jack, Glenn F. Burton, Coyote Ridge and Melvin E. Sine;

\$2,500 – Horizon Elementary School, Glendale Elementary School District, six weeks of theater workshops for students in the sixth, seventh and eighth grades, which will culminate with a performance;

\$2,500 - Glendale Public Library, Foothills Branch, series of 12 musical and dance performances, workshops and lectures, which celebrate the cultural heritage of Arizona's Chinese-Americans;

\$2,500 – Glendale Public Library, Main, three performances of Operatunity, an ensemble of professional opera singers;

\$2,500 – Peoria Unified School District, series of performances for Grades 2-4 by the Great Arizona Puppet Theatre at Sahuaro Ranch, Heritage, Desert Palms, Marshall Ranch and Pioneer Elementary Schools;

\$2,500 – Cactus High School – series of workshops and concerts by Southwest Brass at Cactus High School, Peoria Unified School District;

\$1,400 - Phoenix Conservatory of Music – two musical performances featuring literary artist Stella Pope Duarte and professional dancer Dorothy Morgan for senior citizens at Glencroft, a retirement community (applicant applied for \$1,400);

\$2,500 – Phoenix Symphony, two concerts at Coyote Ridge Elementary School in the Glendale Elementary School District;

\$2,500 – Southwest Human Development, theater workshops for Head Start and preschool programs by Arizona Wolf Trap at five sites to be selected in the Glendale Elementary School District;

\$2,500 – West Valley Arts Council, collaborative outdoor performance and exhibition from The Bead Museum at Arrowhead Towne Center featuring Latin musicians Freddie Duran Plan;

\$2,500 – West Valley Symphony, production costs for bringing the Symphony's Pop Concert Series to Glendale Arena for four performances, which feature guest artists Wynonna Judd, Bobby Vinton, Larry Gatlin, and Neil Sedaka.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** In 1996 Council approved the Performing Arts Granting Program to motivate, increase and support the performing arts in Glendale. Disciplines included in the performing arts are music, dance and theater. Individual grant awards do not exceed \$2,500 and are funded from the Municipal Arts Fund. Since the inception of this program, the city has funded 74 performing arts grants totaling \$175,395.

**Community Benefit:** The Performing Arts Granting Program supports a variety of projects, which make the arts more accessible to Glendale citizens, most notably youth and their families. The arts are spread throughout the community including 17 schools, two libraries, a regional park, a major sports venue, a shopping mall and a facility, which serves seniors. Collectively, 295 artists are involved in the 13 projects, which are projected to reach more than 22,040 citizens.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** The Arts Commission Fund is the funding source for the performing arts grants, which is supported by one percent of capital construction costs.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
		X	X		\$31,400

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

**Arts Commission Fund 70-9700-7910**

**Recommendation:** Authorize the City Manager to execute the contracts and documents to complete the performing arts grant awards to the 13 applicant organizations.

2. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-997 TAILGATER'S SPORTS BAR & GRILL](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request by Larry Fussy for the City Council to approve a Person and Location Transfer of a Series 6 (on and off sale, all liquor) license for Tailgaters Sports Bar and Grill located at 6070 W. Bell Road, No. 107.

**Background:** The approval of this license will not increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area.

The establishment is over 300 feet from any school or church. The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and have determined that it meets all technical requirements.

**Public Input:** No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

**Recommendation:** Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

3. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-999 CYPRESS LOUNGE](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request by Joseph White for the City Council to approve a Person Transfer of a Series 6 (on and off sale, all liquor) license for Cypress Lounge located at 5944 W. Bethany Home Road.

**Background:** The approval of this license will not increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area.

The establishment is over 300 feet from any school or church. The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and have determined that it meets all technical requirements.

**Public Input:** No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

**Recommendation:** Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

4. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1000 SWIZZLE STICK](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request by Donna Hestand for the City Council to approve a Person Transfer of a Series 6 (on and off sale, all liquor) license for Swizzle Stick located at 5152 W. Olive Avenue.

**Background:** The approval of this license will not increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area.

The establishment is over 300 feet from any school or church. The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and have determined that it meets all technical requirements.

**Public Input:** No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

**Recommendation:** Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

5. [LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1001 ZANG ASIAN BISTRO](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request by David Chang for the City Council to approve a new Series 12 (restaurant, all liquor) license for Zang Asian Bistro located at 6835 N. 58<sup>th</sup> Drive.

**Background:** The approval of this license will increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area by one.

The establishment is over 300 feet from any school or church. The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and have determined that it meets all technical requirements.

**Public Input:** No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

**Recommendation:** Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

6. [CHANGE ORDER – DOWNTOWN PLAZA, BEAD MUSEUM & CIVIC CENTER ANNEX RENOVATIONS](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to approve a change order to the construction contract with Brignall Construction for the construction of the Downtown Plaza, Bead Museum & Civic Center Annex Renovations & Additions.

**Background:** On May 10, 2005, Council awarded the construction contract to Brignall Construction to construct additions to, and update the exteriors at, the Bead Museum and Wells Fargo bank building. A pedestrian plaza between the two buildings will also be added to tie the two facilities together and provide for a more esthetic area for visitors.

The contractor's bid proposal did not properly address the electrical portion of the project, leaving the city with the following options: the city could rescind the award of the construction contract to Brignall Construction and award the project to the second low bidder; re-bid the project and delay the start of construction until after the first of the year; or issue a change order for the electrical work. Staff feels it is in the city's best interest to issue a change order to prevent any further delay to the project, which was scheduled to be completed before the Glendale Glitters festivities scheduled for late 2005. Even with the increase in the original contract amount, Brignall Construction will remain the low bidder.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** On July 13, 2004, Council awarded the design contract to the architectural firm of Michael Baker Jr., Inc. On May 10, 2005, Council awarded the construction contract to Brignall Construction in the amount of \$2,825,000.

**Community Benefit:** This project will have a direct impact on the Glendale Civic Center and downtown area. The open space plaza area will create an inviting entrance to the Civic Center, with a public art feature. The renovation/additions to the Wells Fargo building will support the services offered by the Glendale Civic Center and will enhance and expand the functionality of the Bead Museum.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** The total amount of this change order is \$262,500, which will bring the total cost for this project to \$3,087,500. The additional funds are available in the Fiscal Year 2005-2006 Capital improvement Plan, Downtown Plaza, Account No.28-8872-8300.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$262,500

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

Downtown Campus, Account No. 28-8872-8300

**Recommendation:** Approve the change order to the construction contract with Brignall Construction in the amount of \$262,500.

7. [EMERGENCY SERVICES CONTRACTS - SEWER LINE REPAIR AND STREET RECONSTRUCTION](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to approve the transfer of appropriation authority and funds, and emergency service contracts with Hayward Baker, Inc. and Pierson Construction Corp., for emergency services provided for soil stabilization of a collapsed sewer line trench, sewer line repairs, and street reconstruction at two locations.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** One of Council's goals is to provide a safe environment for citizens by maintaining necessary services and responding promptly to the interruption of those services.

**Background:** In September 2004, city staff discovered a collapsing sewer line trench within the neighborhood of 67<sup>th</sup> and Caribbean lanes. Within a few weeks of discovery, greater than normal rainfall accelerated the collapsed sewer trench to a dangerous condition. A geotechnical consultant was immediately retained by the city to evaluate soil conditions surrounding the collapsed sewer line trench. City staff evaluated the data provided by the consultant with the purpose of stabilizing the collapsing trench with a minimum amount of excavation and disturbance to the neighborhood. A high-pressure concrete injected below ground from beneath the sewer line was determined to be the most efficient method of stabilizing the soil in and surrounding the collapsing sewer line trench with a minimum disturbance to existing city streets. As the sewer line itself had been damaged by the failing trench, this method allowed a more efficient restoration and repair of the sewer line with a minimum impact to residents. Hayward Baker Inc., a company specializing in high-pressure grout injection, responded to the city's request for emergency construction services. Hayward Baker Inc. began high-pressure grout injection operations in late November 2004, completing the process in January 2005. As a benefit to the high-pressure concrete method of soil stabilization, access to the 67<sup>th</sup> and Caribbean lanes neighborhood was maintained with a minimum disruption to the residents.

In late November 2004, Pierson Construction Corp. began emergency repairs of a collapsed sewer line trench within the neighborhood near 67<sup>th</sup> and Caribbean lanes. Immediate temporary repairs were implemented to maintain sewer service to the neighborhood with sewer line replacement and permanent repairs beginning after surrounding soil was stabilized by Hayward Baker, Inc. In addition to the sewer line repairs, street reconstruction was necessary to portions of 67<sup>th</sup> Lane, Caribbean Lane and, Greenway Road. Work was completed at these locations in May 2005.

In late December 2004, an aged sewer line in the alleyway between 57<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 57<sup>th</sup> Drive, Paltaire and Myrtle avenues collapsed. Pierson Construction Corp. responded to the city's request for an emergency by-pass of the collapsed sewer line, avoiding imminent sewer backup into adjacent homes and businesses. As the damage was assessed, city staff determined that the entire sewer line was in need of replacement. Pierson Construction Corp. completed the sewer line replacement, alleyway reconstruction and street repairs in February 2005.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** The total cost for the high pressure grouting method of soil stabilization provided by Hayward Baker is \$495,871.12. The total cost for sewer line repair and street reconstruction provided by Pierson Construction Corp., in the alley between 57<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 57<sup>th</sup> Drive, Paltaire and Myrtle avenues is \$134,918.33, and the total cost for sewer line repair and street reconstruction at 67<sup>th</sup> and Caribbean lanes is \$302,878.88. These transactions will require the transfer of appropriation authority and funds of \$933,668.33 from FY 2004-05 Fund 50 Contingency, Account No. 50-2455-7000 to Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300. This will result in a reduction of Fund 50 contingency appropriation authority for FY 2004-05 and a reduction in the Fund 50 balance.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X	X		X	\$933,668.33

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300

**Recommendation:** Authorize the transfer of appropriation authority and funds in the amount of \$933,668.33 from FY 2004-05 Fund 50 Contingency, Account No. 50-2455-7000 to Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300 and approve the emergency services contracts with Hayward Baker Inc., and Pierson Construction Corp.

8. [REIMBURSEMENT TO CITY OF PHOENIX FOR SEWER RELOCATION](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to authorize the transfer of appropriation authority and funds for a one-time reimbursement to the City of Phoenix for the relocation of Glendale’s sanitary sewer line Camelback Road from 103<sup>rd</sup> to 107<sup>th</sup> avenues.

**Background:** In 2003, the City of Phoenix had a project to widen Camelback Road from 103<sup>rd</sup> to 107<sup>th</sup> avenues. In addition to the street widening, the project included filling in an existing Salt River Project (SRP) irrigation canal and replacing it with an underground irrigation pipeline. The new irrigation line was in conflict with an existing 24-inch Glendale sewer line in three places between 103<sup>rd</sup> and 107<sup>th</sup> avenues. Pursuant to a license agreement between Glendale and Phoenix, Glendale was required to relocate the sewer line in those three areas of conflict. Wilson and Company designed the relocation work for Glendale and Pierson Construction Corporation completed the construction work under contract with Phoenix. The work was completed in March 2004 and now Phoenix is requesting payment having successfully completed the one-year warranty period which ended in March 2005.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** A one-time reimbursement to City of Phoenix is required for the construction work completed by Pierson Construction Corporation for the relocation of the Glendale sewer line. This transaction will require the transfer of appropriation authority and funds in the amount of \$382,212 from FY 2004-05 Fund 50 Contingency, Account No. 50-2455-7000, to Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300. This will result in a reduction of Fund 50 contingency appropriation authority for FY 2004-05 and a reduction in the Fund 50 fund balance.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X	X		X	\$382,212.00

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300

**Recommendation:** Authorize the transfer of \$382,212 in appropriation authority and funds from FY 2004-05 Fund 50 Contingency, Account No. 50-2455-7000 to Sewer Line Replacement, Account No. 84-9623-8300 for the one-time reimbursement to the City of Phoenix for the relocation of Glendale's sanitary sewer line in Camelback Road from 103<sup>rd</sup> to 107<sup>th</sup> avenues.

#### 9. CATERING SERVICES AT THE GLENDALE CIVIC CENTER

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to award and authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract for catering, beverage and concession services at the Glendale Civic Center and auxiliary facilities with Continental Catering, Inc.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** The Civic Center's ability to provide a full service meeting and banquet venue in the heart of downtown Glendale serves to enhance the quality of life for its residents as well as contribute to the economic vitality of the area.

**Background:** Services under this contract will include preparing, providing and serving food for users of the Civic Center as well as licensed alcoholic beverage service, and providing the product and personnel for events requiring concession-type food and beverage.

Catering services will begin July 1, 2005 and continue for five years. The proposal specifications contain an option clause that will permit the city, at the discretion of the City Manager, to extend this agreement for three additional years, for a total possible contract period of eight years. The option clause will allow the city to extend the contract in one-year increments for up to three years.

The evaluation panel consisted of staff from the Glendale Civic Center, the Deputy City Manager's office, and the City of Mesa Arts Center. Specific evaluation factors included: quality of the bidder's management team, management plan, food service operations, experience and proven success at operating and managing catering and alcohol operations, proposed compensation plan, competitive menu proposals, a food tasting along with observation of the servicing of an actual event, and professional references and health department inspection ratings.

Three proposals were received. *Creative Cuisine and Catering dba Continental Catering, Inc.* submitted the offer that was scored the highest and was selected by the evaluation committee for recommendation as the contractor.

Continental Catering has been providing catering services at the Civic Center since it opened in 1999. Clients at the Glendale Civic Center have consistently been pleased with the service and food quality provided by Continental Catering. Through surveys distributed after events at the Civic Center, Continental Catering has received an overall approval rate of 95.7%. Their management team has over 28 years of experience in catering, event planning and consulting. It is also Arizona's largest and oldest owner-

operated catering company and their client list includes some of the most notable corporations in the Valley.

The City Attorney's Office reviewed the solicitation process and the selection procedures utilized.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** On July 27, 1999 the City Council approved proposal 99-32 for Catering Services at the Glendale Civic Center to Continental Catering. This contract officially expires June 30, 2005.

**Community Benefit:** Providing top quality catering, beverage and concession services at competitive rates allows the Civic Center to meet the business and social needs for groups that require full service function space within the City of Glendale.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** As stated in their response to the Request for Proposal, the city will receive commission payments from Continental Catering, Inc. in the following amounts: Catering Food, 21%, Alcohol Service, 21%, and Concession Service, 50% of net profit. Revenues from commission payments will be deposited into account number 06-0006-4446.

**Recommendation:** Award and authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract, in the best interest of the city, for catering, beverage and concession services at the Glendale Civic Center with Continental Catering, Inc.

#### 10. [AWARD OF RFP 05-13 – SPECIAL EVENT RENTALS](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to approve a contract for short-term rental of special event equipment on an "as required" basis. The resulting agreement will provide for the order, delivery, placement and removal of rental equipment for selected citywide events including: Glendale Hometown Fourth of July, Fiesta Glendale, Glendale Glitters Spectacular, Glendale Glitter and Glow Block Party, Glendale Chocolate Affaire, and Glendale Jazz & Blues Festival.

**Background:** A Request for Proposals for tent and accessory rentals was released on March 24, 2005. It was advertised in the Glendale Star, Bid Source, Dodge Reports, chambers of commerce, and on the city's website. One offer was received from Regal Rents Acquisition Corp dba Tri-Rentals. The Marketing/Communications department reviewed the offer and determined that the response meets all specifications. The contract will run for one year with the option to renew for an additional four one-year periods.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** City Council previously awarded a contract, 99-66, for these items on May 30, 2000. That contract expired in May 2005 and has no additional renewals available.

**Community Benefit:** The approval of this contract will allow the City of Glendale to produce high quality events for the enjoyment of our citizens.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:**

This is the same company our former contract was with; therefore the amount has been calculated into the Special Event's budget.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
			X		65,795

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

Special Events Rental 01-4244-7810

**Recommendation:** Award the contract to Regal Rents Acquisition Corporation for special event equipment rental for an annual cost of \$65,795.

**CONSENT RESOLUTIONS**

11. [INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR 2004 STATE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution authorizing the entering into of an intergovernmental agreement with the State of Arizona Division of Emergency Management (ADEM) to administer Homeland Security Grant Program funds to the City of Glendale, and to accept a 2004 Homeland Security grant to enhance the capabilities of the Police Department's Bomb Squad.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** This request supports the Council priority of ensuring public safety for Glendale residents.

**Background:** Since 1999, Glendale has been able to leverage grant funds to enhance first responder preparedness within the city and the West Valley. Grant funds have been used for safety equipment to protect first responders, specialized equipment for technical operations, equipment to enhance communication efforts, preparedness training, and to enhance prevention and intervention programs.

Grant funds awarded to the city under the 2004 Homeland Security Grant Program will support the Glendale Police Department's ability to maintain and enhance bomb response capabilities.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** There is no financial match requested for this funding. This is one-time funding by the State Homeland Security. A specific account will be established in Fund 47, the city's grant fund, once the grant agreements are formally executed.

The Police Department will absorb the operating and maintenance costs for FY 2005-06, and then submit a supplemental for \$9,600 for FY 2006-07 for ongoing costs to include fuel, vehicle maintenance and replacement.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
X	X	\$148,855			\$148,855

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

To be established (see above)

**Recommendation:** Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the entering into of an intergovernmental agreement with the State of Arizona Division of Emergency Management to administer Homeland Security Grant Program funds to the City of Glendale, and to accept a 2004 Homeland Security grant award in the amount of \$148,855 to support the Police Departments ability to maintain and enhance bomb response capabilities.

**PUBLIC HEARING – LAND DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS**

12. [REZONING APPLICATION ZON04-18 - 21432 NORTH 75<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request by Eva Oprea for the City Council to approve a rezoning from A-1 (Agricultural) to C-O (Commercial Office). The property is located at 21432 North 75<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** The proposed zone designation will bring the property into conformance with the city’s General Plan.

**Background:** The site is located south of the southwest corner of 75<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Deer Valley Road. The proposed C-O zoning is consistent with the General Plan designation of OFC (Office). The C-O zoning district is the most appropriate zoning to implement the office land use designation.

The city has rezoned two other properties north of the site from A-1 to C-O.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** On April 21, 2005, the Planning Commission held a public hearing and unanimously recommended approval of the rezoning application with three stipulations.

**Community Benefit:** The rezoning request will bring the property into conformance with the General Plan and it will be consistent with the surrounding properties in the area.

**Public Input:** On June 23, 2004, the applicant sent out approximately 350 notification letters regarding the rezoning request. There were no letters or telephone calls received regarding the proposed rezoning request.

**Recommendation:** Conduct a public hearing and approve rezoning application ZON04-18, subject to stipulations as recommended by the Planning Commission.

## **BIDS AND CONTRACTS**

### 13. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT – PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE ONE

**Purpose:** This is a request for City Council approval of a professional services agreement with Lawrence Enyart Architects for design and construction administration services for phase one of the Public Safety Training Facility located on the northwest corner of 115<sup>th</sup> and Glendale avenues, bordering the west boundary of the Glendale Municipal Landfill. Phase one will provide the needed facilities to support the training of Glendale Fire and Police personnel including classrooms, shooting ranges, driving track, fire training tower, mockups of buildings and burn rooms, an emergency operations center and infrastructure facilities for future phases.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** The Public Safety Training Facility will provide a new resource for the training of fire and police personnel. The ability to meet the future demands for trained public safety personnel will help ensure the public's safety.

**Background:** Early in 2004 the Fire and Police Departments realized that the demand for trained public safety personnel was soon going to surpass the abilities of the available training resources. Currently 19 communities in the valley, including Glendale, utilize the Phoenix Regional Training Facility.

The Engineering Department reviewed the database of over 100 consultants and short listed three architectural firms with experience performing this type of work. A committee comprised of Fire, Police, and Engineering staff selected Lawrence Enyart Architects as the best-qualified firm to perform the Public Safety Training Facility Master Plan. The facility master plan was completed in January 2005. Based on Lawrence Enyart Architects' performance on the master plan the committee selected them to provide the design and construction administration services for phase one.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** In 2003, staff held a series of meetings and identified a city-owned site for the project. On July 24, 2004, Council approved a contract with Lawrence Enyart Architects (LEA) to develop the Public Safety Training Facility Master Plan, which was completed in January 2005. The project was presented at Council budget workshops on April 5 and 19, 2005 and approved as part of the Fiscal Year 2005-06 budget.

**Community Benefit:** The facility will provide the Fire and Police Departments the ability to train public safety employees to meet future needs.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** Funds for this project are available in Public Safety Multi-Use Facility, Account No. 60-9421-8330.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$3,138,000

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**  
Public Safety Multi-Use Facility, Account No. 60-9421-8330

**Recommendation:** Approve the professional services agreement with Lawrence Enyart Architects in the amount of \$3,138,000.

14. [CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK AGREEMENT – PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING FACILITY, PHASE ONE](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for City Council approval of a Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) Agreement with D.L. Withers Construction, LC, to provide design support services for the first phase of the Public Safety Training Facility located on the northwest corner of 115<sup>th</sup> and Glendale avenues, bordering the west boundary of the Glendale Municipal Landfill. The services to be provided include conducting the bidding to establish a guaranteed maximum price, budget and scheduling, and maintaining the project requirements.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** The Public Safety Training Facility will provide a new resource for the training of fire and police personnel. The ability to meet the future demands for trained public safety personnel will help ensure the public's safety.

**Background:** The first phase of the facility will include a flood control levee to remove the site from the flood plain. These facilities have been identified by the Police and Fire Departments as necessary to support training needs by January 2007.

Early in 2004 the Glendale Fire and Police Departments realized that the demand for trained public safety personnel was soon going to surpass the capabilities of the available training resources. Currently 19 communities in the Valley, including Glendale, utilize the Phoenix Regional Training Facility.

A notice for a Request for Qualifications was sent out to contracting firms and four proposals were received. Three firms were interviewed on May 24, 2005. The interview committee, made up of staff from the Fire, Police, and Engineering departments, and a senior executive from a licensed contracting company, selected D.L. Withers Construction, LC, as the best qualified firm to perform the required services.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** In 2003 staff held a series of meetings and identified a city-owned site for the project. On July 27, 2004, Council approved a contract with Lawrence Enyart Architects (LEA) to develop the Public Safety Training Facility Master Plan, which was completed in January 2005. The project was presented at Council budget workshops on April 5 and 19, 2005, and approved as part of the Fiscal Year 2005-06 budget.

**Community Benefit:** The facility will provide the Fire and Police Departments the ability to train public safety employees to meet future needs.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** The Engineering Department staff negotiated a fee to cover the CMAR design support services for this project in the amount of \$348,719. Funds are available in EOC/Training Facility Phase I, Account No. 60-9421-8330.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$348,719

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**  
EOC/Training Facility Phase I, Account No. 60-9421-8330

**Recommendation:** Approve the construction manager at risk agreement with D.L. Withers Construction, LC, in the amount of \$348,719.

## ORDINANCES

### 15. [CONSTRUCTION CODE REVISIONS](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for City Council to adopt an ordinance amending the current construction codes. This amendment will clarify requirements for fire-damaged buildings and will set a new requirement for the completion of all structures within two years.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** Revising and updating construction codes enhances the quality of life for Glendale residents by providing a safe environment and assists in preserving neighborhoods.

**Background:** The Building Safety Department has two similar sets of requirements to deal with dangerous and fire damaged buildings: The Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings and City Code Section 25-70 Article VI Damaged Building Ordinance.

While the Damaged Building Ordinance was created to deal primarily with fire-damaged structures, the Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings also has requirements for these types of structures in addition to dilapidated, unsafe or illegally occupied structures. This conflict in ordinances makes it unclear for builders and residents to understand the code requirements for fire-damaged structures. An amendment to the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings would eliminate fire-damaged structures from that portion of the code, making only the Damaged Building Ordinance applicable for fire-damaged structures.

The second amendment requested clarifies that in order for a building permit to remain active, the applicant shall call for an inspection within 180 days and successfully pass the inspection. While it was always the intent of the code that the applicant would need to pass an inspection, not merely obtain an inspection for the permit to remain active, the code does not clearly state this. As a result, the city has several projects that have taken years to complete while the applicant routinely calls in for an inspection every 180 days, but does not make significant progress in the construction. This amendment would ensure that construction progresses in a timely manner, or the permit will expire and new plans and permits would be required.

A related third amendment requires that all construction projects, except for the most complex or large, shall be completed within two years of obtaining the permit. This will also assist in construction progress and ensure that projects do not take years to complete.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** Both ordinances were presented to the Council Subcommittee on Property Maintenance in April 2004 and the overlapping requirements were explained. It was the recommendation of the subcommittee to amend the ordinances so that it clarifies which one would be used for fire-damaged buildings and which was for dilapidated or unsafe structures. The subcommittee also recommended amending the code, limiting the amount of time to complete structures to two years.

**Community Benefit:** With the adoption of these amendments, there will be fewer slow moving or unfinished construction projects that have become a nuisance and a blight to neighborhoods in the city and requirements would be made clearer for fire-damaged structures.

**Recommendation:** Waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance amending the 1997 Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings and the 1997 Uniform Administrative Code to clarify requirements for fire-damaged buildings and set a new requirement for the completion of all structures within two years.

## **PUBLIC HEARING – ORDINANCES**

### 16. [FISCAL YEAR 2005/06 PROPERTY TAX LEVY](#)

**Purpose:** This is a request for the City Council to set the property taxes for FY 2005/06, conduct a public hearing, and adopt an ordinance setting the FY 2005/06 primary property tax rate at \$0.3064 per \$100 of assessed valuation and the secondary property tax rate at \$1.4136 per \$100 of assessed valuation. The total property tax rate is \$1.72; this total rate has not changed since FY 2000/01.

**Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:** The setting of the property tax rates for FY 2005/06 is consistent with the Council's goal of ensuring the city's financial stability.

**Background:** Arizona state law requires that Council set the property tax levy by the third Monday in August.

Arizona's state property tax levy consists of two tiers. The primary property tax levy has state-mandated maximum limits, but it can be used by a city for any lawful purpose. It is the primary property tax revenue that is included in the General Fund. The secondary property tax levy is not limited, but it can be used only to retire the principal and interest on a municipality's General Obligation debt.

While the City of Glendale's total property tax rate has remained unchanged at \$1.72 per \$100 of assessed valuation since FY 2000/01, the city has adjusted the two components of the overall property tax rate. Specifically, the city has continued to lower the primary property tax rate and increase the secondary property tax rate so that all assessed valuation growth due to appreciation (versus new construction) is shifted to the secondary property tax rate.

All Truth in Taxation requirements of A.R.S. 42-17107 have been met and the primary property tax levy has not increased except for an amount attributable to new construction.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** The city's projected primary property tax revenue for FY 2005/06, which was based on the proposed primary property tax rate for FY 2005/06, was discussed at the February 14, 2005 Council workshop as part of Council's review of the FY 2005/06 GF revenue projections. The city's proposed secondary property tax rate for FY 2005/06 was discussed at the April 5, 2005, Council budget workshop as part of the FY 2006/14 preliminary capital improvement program (CIP) discussion.

**Public Input:** The FY 2005/06 preliminary operating budget and FY 2006/15 preliminary capital budget was presented to Council on June 14, 2005. Also, on June 14, 2005, Council conducted a public hearing on the FY2005-06 preliminary operating budget and FY2006-15 preliminary capital budget and adopted a resolution accepting the same preliminary operating and capital budgets and giving notice of the date for a public hearing on setting the property tax levy.

Summary information regarding the preliminary budget, including the proposed primary and secondary tax rates, was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 16, 2005, and June 23, 2005. All budget workshops were open to the public and were posted publicly per state requirements.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** It is estimated that the FY 2005/06 primary property tax rate will generate approximately \$3.7 million and the secondary property tax rate will generate approximately \$17.9 million for a total of approximately \$21.6 million in FY 2005/06.

**Recommendation:** Conduct a public hearing and waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance setting the FY 2005/06 primary property tax rate at \$0.3064 per \$100 of assessed valuation and the secondary property tax rate at \$1.4136 per \$100 of assessed valuation, for a total property tax rate of \$1.72.

## **PUBLIC HEARING – RESOLUTIONS**

### **17. FINAL REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2005-06 OPERATING AND FISCAL YEAR 2006-2015 CAPITAL BUDGETS**

***Purpose:*** This is a request for the City Council to review and approve the FY 2005-06 final operating budget and FY 2006-15 final capital budget, conduct a public hearing, and adopt a resolution formally approving the operating and capital budget for FY 2005-06.

***Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:*** Review of the final FY 2005-06 operating budget and the FY 2006-15 capital budget is consistent with the Council's goal of ensuring the city's financial stability.

***Background:*** A series of Council workshops were conducted from February to April 2005, to review the proposed FY 2005-06 operating budget and the FY 2006-15 capital budget, as well as the General Fund (GF) revenue projections for FY 2005-06.

The FY 2005-06 operating and capital budget, as reflected in the FY 2005-06 preliminary budget book, is balanced. Some notable additions to the FY 2005-06 budget include:

The additional ongoing funds required to implement step-based pay plans for both police and fire sworn personnel and the market-based pay adjustments for the rest of the city to obtain the most highly qualified staff to provide services to Glendale residential and business communities;

Approximately \$570,000 in one-time funds to address specialized equipment needs of the Police Department, and \$1.3 million in one-time funds for overtime, vehicle maintenance and background investigations;

Approximately \$712,450 in one-time funds to provide paramedic training in advanced life support for staff assigned to the new fire station that is scheduled to open in north Glendale in early FY 2005-06;

Approximately \$120,000 in one-time funds to provide consolidated training and consulting services for the city's PeopleSoft enterprise software package used for a variety of functions related to finance, purchasing, asset management, budget, and human resources;

Approximately \$1.4 million in ongoing funds for the city's pavement management program (for a total of \$2.25 million), a long term maintenance plan to preserve the high quality of the city's network of streets;

\$3 million in one-time funds to continue acquiring underperforming properties in the downtown Glendale area; and

Approximately \$200,000 for the Neighborhood Improvement Grants program (for a total of \$700,000) to provide capital improvements to various neighborhoods throughout the city such as right-of-way landscaping enhancements.

Also, a total of 28 ongoing positions (14.5 positions for the GF and 13.5 positions for all other funds) were added to the base budget for FY 2005-06. Some examples of the new positions added to the city's base budget include:

Three fire captains to staff phase I of the Rapid Response Team, an urban rescue team trained to respond to threats or acts of terrorism, a program that City Council approved in July 2004 when the city formally accepted a federal homeland security grant;

Two inspectors to ensure compliance with city codes that promote public health and safety, eliminate conditions that contribute to blight and deterioration, and preserve the quality of life in neighborhoods;

One recreation supervisor to work with the construction manager on equipment purchases and to set up the staffing and program needs prior to the opening of the new Foothills Recreation & Aquatic Center, the construction of which commenced in the spring of 2005;

One service worker to work on the city's graffiti abatement team;

Two electronic information specialists to address the ongoing duties related to maintaining an up-to-date, sophisticated city website that offers residents and businesses access to e-services and other information;

Nine staff persons for the city's expanding water, wastewater, and sewer capital operations; and

One equipment operator to address customer growth in the city's residential trash and recycling collection program.

On the capital side, the city has committed resources to making the capital investments needed to meet the needs of the residential and business community. For example, the design and construction of the emergency operations center (EOC) and the initial phase of the regional public safety training facility are budgeted for FY 2005-06 and FY 2006-07. Numerous water, wastewater, and sewer capital projects are expected to commence construction during FY 2005-06, a reflection of the city's commitment to devote the necessary resources to maintain and upgrade the city's potable water system and sanitary sewer system. In addition, several critical flood control projects are budgeted for FY 2005-06.

FY 2005-06 GF revenues are expected to continue to grow, with the most notable increase expected in city sales tax revenues. This source alone is 38% of the city's GF revenue budget for FY 2005-06.

This revenue source has performed very strongly in recent years. For example, in FY 2003-04, city sales tax revenue actuals were \$6.2 million, or 14.4%, higher than the actuals received in FY 2002-03. For FY 2004-05, city sales taxes are projected to come in \$2.4 million, or 4.8%, higher than the FY 2003-04 actuals. At the end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter, city sales tax receipts already are \$2.1 million ahead of FY 2003-04 actuals. For FY 2005-06, the city is expecting \$3.5 million more than the amount the city expects to receive in FY 2004-05, with \$1.9 million of that amount attributable to the addition of city sales tax to franchise fees, a new revenue stream that Glendale voters approved in a May 2005 election.

State-shared revenues represent 32% of the city's GF revenue budget for FY 2005-06. The state-shared revenue budget for FY 2005-06 equals the actuals received in FY 2003-04. This conservative approach is being taken because of the expected decrease in state-shared revenues in FY 2006-07. A mid-decade census will be conducted during FY 2005-06, and the recalculation of Glendale's pro rata portion of state-shared revenues is expected to mean a \$3.4 million loss to Glendale beginning in FY 2006-07. By taking this approach, the city is not building into its operating budget additional ongoing expenses supported by state-shared revenues.

At the end of the third quarter, collections for state-shared revenues are 4.6% or \$1.6 million ahead of collections through the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter for FY 2003-04. The Joint Legislative Budget Committee, a bipartisan committee that includes respected economists from the private sector and the universities, is projecting robust growth – 6.6% for state sales tax receipts, 8.4% for state individual income tax receipts, and 19.1% for state corporate income tax receipts – for FY 2005-06. Given this outlook and the city's conservative approach to budgeting this revenue source, the city believes the impact of the mid-decade census on state-shared revenues will not result in any operating budget reductions for the city.

**Previous Council/Staff Actions:** The FY 2005-06 preliminary operating budget and FY 2006-15 preliminary capital budget was presented to Council on June 14, 2005. Also, on June 14, 2005, City Council conducted a public hearing on the FY 2005-06 preliminary operating budget and FY 2006-15 preliminary capital budget and adopted a resolution accepting the same preliminary operating and capital budgets.

Prior to June 2005, a series of seven Council budget workshops were conducted from February to April 2005 to review the proposed operating budget for FY 2005-06 and the proposed capital budget for FY 2006-15, as well as the GF revenue projections for FY 2005-06.

**Community Benefit:** The City of Glendale's budget is an important financial, planning, and public communication tool. It gives residents and businesses a clear and concrete view of the city's direction for public services and operations and a better understanding of the city's ongoing needs for stable revenue sources to fund public services and ongoing operations.

The budget also provides Council and residents with a means to evaluate the city's financial stability.

**Public Input:** The FY 2005-06 preliminary operating budget and FY 2006-15 preliminary capital budget was presented to Council on June 14, 2005. Also, on June 14, 2005, City Council conducted a public hearing on the FY 2005-06 preliminary operating budget and FY 2006-15 preliminary capital budget and adopted a resolution accepting the same preliminary operating and capital budgets and giving notice of the date for a public hearing on setting the property tax levy.

Summary information regarding the preliminary budget was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 16, 2005, and June 23, 2005.

All budget workshops were open to the public and were posted publicly per state requirements.

**Budget Impacts & Costs:** The annual budget (all funds) for the City of Glendale is divided into four major components, which include all appropriations for the city. The total budget, including all four components, is \$730 million. The four components and their respective total amounts for FY 2005-06 are as follows:

The *operating budget* finances the day-to-day provision of city services and totals \$290 million (all funds);

The *capital improvement budget* funds the construction of city facilities, such as roads and buildings. For FY 2005-06, the capital improvement budget totals \$326.5 million (all funds);

The *debt service budget* is used to repay money borrowed by the city, primarily for capital improvements, and totals \$47.4 million (all funds); and

The final component of the budget is the *contingency appropriation*, which is made up of fund reserves and is available to cover emergency expenses or revenue shortages. The contingency appropriation for FY 2005-06 totals \$66.1 million (all funds).

The budget includes revenue estimates totaling \$499.2 million (all sources). Total uses of all fund balances, which includes proceeds from bonds previously sold, total approximately \$230.8 million.

The primary property tax rate will be set at \$0.3064 and the secondary property tax rate will be set at \$1.4136, for a total of \$1.72. The total property tax rate has not changed since FY 2000-01. All Truth in Taxation requirements of A.R.S. 42-17107 have been met and the primary property tax levy has not increased except for the amount attributable to new construction.

**Recommendation:** Conduct a public hearing on the FY 2005-06 final operating budget and the FY 2006-15 final capital budget and waive reading beyond the title, and adopt a resolution formally approving the operating and capital budget for FY 2005-06.

## **REQUEST FOR FUTURE WORKSHOP AND EXECUTIVE SESSION**

### **CITIZEN COMMENTS**

**If you wish to speak on a matter concerning Glendale city government that is not on the printed agenda, please fill out a Citizen Comments Card located in the back of the Council Chambers and give it to the City Clerk before the meeting starts. The City Council can only act on those matters which are on the printed agenda, but may refer the matter to the City Manager for follow up. Once your name is called by the Mayor, proceed to the podium, state your name and address for the record and limit your comments to a period of five minutes or less.**

### **COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS**

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:**

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.1);**
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.2);**
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.3);**
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.4);**
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.5); or**
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.7).**