

**GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP SESSION  
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - WORKSHOP ROOM  
5850 West Glendale Avenue  
February 01, 2005  
2:30 p.m.**

**WORKSHOP SESSION**

1. [COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HUD FEDERAL GRANTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06](#)  
– 45 MINUTES
2. [GLENDALE COORDINATED FEDERAL PROGRAM](#) – 30 MINUTES
3. [2005 STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE](#) – 30 MINUTES

**CITY MANAGER’S REPORT**

**This report allows the City Manager to update the City Council about issues raised by the public during Business from the Floor at previous Council meetings or to provide Council with a response to inquiries raised at previous meetings by Council members. The City Council may only acknowledge the contents to this report and is prohibited by state law from discussing or acting on any of the items presented by the City Manager since they are not itemized on the Council Workshop Agenda.**

**COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS**

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

1. LEGAL MATTERS
  - A. The City Council will meet with the City Attorney for legal advice, discussion and consultation regarding the city’s position in pending and contemplated litigation, including settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.3 & A.4) – 30 Minutes
2. LEGAL MATTERS – PROPERTY & CONTRACTS
  - A. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to receive an update, to consider its position, and to provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position in connection with contracts, agreements and/or development agreements related to the development of a hotel and surrounding amenities in the area in, near, surrounding or related to the Arena and Multi-Purpose Stadium sites that are the subject of negotiations. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.2, A.3, A.4 & A.7) – 30 Minutes
  - B. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to receive an update, to consider its position, and to provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position in connection with contracts, agreements and/or development agreements related to public and private

development of the area in, near, surrounding or related to the Arena and Multi-Purpose Stadium sites that are the subject of negotiations. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.2, A.3, A.4 & A.7) – 30 Minutes

- C. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to consider its position and provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position in connection with contracts, agreements and an economic development opportunity relating to public and private development in connection with a large annual event which is the subject of negotiations. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.3, A.4 & A.7) – 30 Minutes
- D. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to receive an update, to consider its position, and to provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position regarding the potential sale of city property in the redevelopment area that is the subject of negotiations. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.3, A.4 & A.7) – 30 Minutes

### 3. PERSONNEL MATTERS

- A. Various terms have expired on Boards and Commissions. The City Council will be discussing appointments involving the following Boards and Commissions. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.1) – 30 Minutes
  - 1. Bicycle Advisory Committee
  - 2. Board of Adjustment
  - 3. Citizens Transportation Oversight Commission
  - 4. Commission on Persons With Disabilities
  - 5. Community Development Advisory Committee
  - 6. Historic Preservation Commission
  - 7. Housing Advisory Commission
  - 8. Judicial Selection Advisory Board
  - 9. Library Advisory Board
  - 10. Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission
  - 11. Planning Commission

**Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:**

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.1);
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.2);
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.3);
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.4);
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.5); or
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.7).

**Confidentiality Requirements Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(C) & (D): Any person receiving executive session information pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02 shall not disclose that information except to the Attorney General or County Attorney by agreement of the City Council, or as otherwise ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.**



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

02/01/2005  
Item No. 1

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PREPARED BY: Erik Strunk, Community Partnerships Director  
Gilbert Lopez, Revitalization Administrator

SUBJECT: **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY  
COMMITTEE FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR  
HUD FEDERAL GRANTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06**

### *Purpose*

---

- This is a request for City Council to review the Community Development Advisory Committee's (CDAC) funding recommendations for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), American Dream Downpayment Initiative (ADDI), and Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG) Program funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). In addition to staff, Mr. John Turbidy, CDAC Chairperson, will present the recommendations to the Mayor and Council for consideration.

### *Council Policies Or Goals Addressed*

---

- The CDAC formulated its recommendations based upon the following funding priorities, provided by Mayor and Council for Fiscal Year (FY) 2005-06. These funding priorities were originally established by City Council at the September 3, 2002 workshop.
  - Housing-Related Projects (e.g. infill housing, housing rehabilitation)
  - Clearance and Demolition of Blighting Conditions
  - Programs that Prevent Homelessness
  - Programs Related to Domestic Violence
  - Programs Related to Employment Services (training, job seeking assistance)
  - Programs that Benefit Seniors and Youth

### *Background*

---

- The CDAC conducted an extensive review process for the use of FY 2005-06 CDBG, HOME, ADDI, and ESG Program funds. This process included the review of 50 applications, formal presentations by each of the applicants, and the CDAC's funding recommendations.

- CDBG funds can be used for activities that benefit low/moderate income persons; aide in the elimination of slum and blight; and/or address an urgent community need. Examples would include assistance to the West Side Food Bank for home food delivery and the YWCA for the renovation of its facility so that it can continue to provide meals to eligible seniors.
- HOME funds are available for activities that will improve the supply of decent, safe, sanitary and affordable housing, such as new infill housing constructed by Habitat for Humanity and the acquisition and rehabilitation of older homes by Community Services of Arizona.
- ADDI funds are available for programs that strive to increase homeownership rates among low-income first-time homebuyers with annual incomes that do not exceed 80 percent of the Maricopa County median income.
- ESG funds provide assistance to families in danger of eviction or foreclosure by funding existing, successful programs that prevent homelessness. The funds can also be used to help operate existing shelters, such as the West Valley Child Crisis Center, which provides shelter to abused children.
- **CDBG Proposed Funding Allocations**  
In FY 2005/06, the City of Glendale will receive approximately \$2,526,810 of CDBG funds from HUD. An additional, \$265,337 of program income and unspent CDBG funds from activities completed in prior years will be available to fund Physical Improvement Activities.
- The following is a list of proposed funding recommendations:
  - \$379,021 – To fund Public Service Activities (15% maximum allowed).
  - \$1,317,764 – To fund Physical Improvement Activities.
  - \$590,000 – To fund housing rehabilitation programs provided by the Community Revitalization Division, which include Residential Rehabilitation Program, Roof Repair/Replacement Program, Exterior Improvement Program, Voluntary Demolition Program, and related lead-based paint testing and hazard reduction activities.
  - \$505,362 – To fund program administration to comply with all related CDBG program federal regulations (20% maximum allowed).
- **The following is a table summary of the CDBG funding requests received:**

	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS	TOTAL AMOUNT OF FUNDING REQUESTS
<b>PUBLIC SERVICE ACTIVITIES</b>	32	\$705,113
<b>PHYSICAL IMPROVEMENT ACTIVITIES</b>	11	\$1,875,871
<b>TOTAL CDBG REQUESTS</b>	43	\$2,580,984

- **HOME Proposed Funding Recommendations**

The city will receive approximately \$754,690 of HOME funds and an estimated \$36,647 of ADDI Program funds from Maricopa County. Maricopa County serves as the lead agency of the Maricopa County HOME Consortium, which is comprised of Maricopa County, Glendale, Tempe, Scottsdale, Mesa, Chandler, Gilbert, and Peoria. The Consortium is responsible for the administration and distribution of HOME funds.

- The following is a list of proposed funding recommendations:

- \$400,000 (HOME) – To fund Non-Profit Agencies to provide Housing-Related Activities.
- \$132,000 (HOME) – To fund the Replacement Housing Program.
- \$125,522 (HOME) – To fund the Residential Rehabilitation Program.
- \$50,000 (HOME) and \$36,647 (ADDI) – To fund the First-Time Homebuyer Program administered by the Community Revitalization Division.
- \$47,168 – To fund program administration to comply with all related HOME program federal regulations.

- The following is a table summary of the HOME funding requests received:

	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS	TOTAL AMOUNT OF FUNDING REQUESTS
<b>HOUSING RELATED ACTIVITIES</b>	2	\$550,000

- **Emergency Shelter Grants Program (ESG)**

The city will receive an estimated \$97,576 of ESG Program funds from HUD to assist with the prevention of homelessness, and to assist homeless individuals and families to move toward independent living. Eligible activities include rehabilitation and renovation of emergency shelters, shelter operational costs, essential services, and homeless prevention activities.

- The following is a list of proposed funding recommendations

- \$20,000 – To fund Homeless Prevention Activities, (30% is the maximum allowed).
- \$72,697 – To fund agencies for operational costs.
- \$4,879 – To fund program administration to comply with all related ESG Program federal regulations.

- The following is a table summary of the ESG funding requests received:

	NUMBER OF APPLICATIONS	TOTAL AMOUNT OF FUNDING REQUESTS
<b>HOMELESS PREVENTION ACTIVITIES</b>	1	\$29,000
<b>SHELTER OPERATIONAL COSTS FOR HOMELESS SERVICE ACTIVITIES</b>	4	\$88,500
<b>TOTAL ESG REQUESTS</b>	5	\$117,500

## *Previous Council/Staff Actions*

---

- Council received a memorandum from the staff on August 16, 2004, outlining the process utilized by the CDAC for determining the city's community needs. The needs identified in the memorandum remained consistent with those needs identified by Council at the September 3, 2002 workshop.

## *Community Benefit*

---

- Since FY 1977-78, Glendale has received approximately \$37,516,810 in CDBG funds to assist thousands of homeowners and individuals with services that provide safe, decent housing, and improve their living conditions. Public service programs, such as homeless prevention and senior, youth, and disabled services, provide a compassionate hand to those in need. Physical Improvement Projects are the bricks and mortar part of the program. The Housing Rehabilitation Programs provide funding for much needed repairs for heating and cooling systems, electrical, plumbing, and other critical components.
- Beginning in FY 2004, the city received \$99,167 of ESG funding to specifically assist with homelessness.
- Since 1992, the city has received approximately \$6,517,928 in HOME/ADDI program funds that are used specifically for housing-related programs. The HOME Program has funded the construction of many new single-family homes and has helped preserve existing homes.

## *Public Input*

---

The citizen participation process conducted for the use of FY 2005-06 HUD federal funding included the following actions:

- On August 12, 2004, notices announcing the FY 2005-06 CDBG/ESG/HOME grant application cycle and orientation meeting were mailed to prospective applicants and a public notice was published in The Glendale Star.
- On August 30, 2004, The Community Revitalization Division staff met with grant applicants to discuss Council priorities, the application process, and evaluation criteria.
- On September 22, 2004, The CDAC held a general meeting and public hearing to solicit input for the use of CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds.
- On November 3, 2004, the CDAC received the grant applications for its review.
- On December 7, 8, and 15, 2004, the CDAC conducted three public hearings where applicants were given an opportunity to present their applications before the committee.
- On December 11 and 18, 2004, the CDAC conducted two public meetings to formulate its recommendations for Council review.

## Budget Impacts & Costs

---

- The CDBG, HOME, ADDI, and ESG programs are federally funded. The CDBG program does not have a match requirement. The HOME program does require a 25% match from non-federal funds and the ESG program requires a 100% match.
- An annual match allocation of \$25,000 is provided in General Fund budget as a supplement towards the required 25% match requirement for HOME projects administered by the city. The total match needed for the city's in-house projects could exceed \$176,880, depending on the projects. Even so, no additional General Funds are necessary as the additional match needed will be provided by saved match carryover and other eligible in-kind resources such as donated materials or volunteer hours. Outside agencies that apply for HOME funds are required to provide a portion of their own matching funds.
- The ESG funding will be allocated to outside agencies. Those agencies that apply for these funds will be required to provide the 100% required matching funds.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
CDBG –\$2,526,810					\$2,526,810
HOME – \$754,690			\$25,000		\$779,690
ADDI – \$36,647					\$36,647
ESG – \$97,576					\$97,576

**Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:**

Community Development Block Grant	Fund 11
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	Fund 10
American Dream Downpayment Initiative	Fund 10
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	Fund 38
General Fund	Fund 01

## Direction/Policy Guidance

---

Review the funding recommendations from the Community Development Advisory Committee and provide direction.



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

02/01/2005  
Item No. 2

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council  
FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager  
PREPARED BY: Kristen Skabo, Intergovernmental Programs Deputy Director

SUBJECT: **GLENDALE COORDINATED FEDERAL PROGRAM**

### *Purpose*

---

- This is a request for City Council to review and provide direction on the proposed Glendale Coordinated Federal Program.
- The purpose of the Glendale Coordinated Federal Program is to have a coordinated plan to affect federal legislation and regulation as it relates to the interests of the City of Glendale and its residents, and to structure a coordinated effort of all federal issues in one department that has authorization to manage the city's agenda.

### *Council Policies Or Goals Addressed*

---

- The Glendale Coordinated Federal Program addresses the Council goal of developing a sustainable plan and strategy that seeks to coordinate all federal Glendale needs, as well as develop a consistent presence with the Arizona delegation, other key members of Congress, and the Executive Branch and its agencies.

### *Background*

---

- The Glendale Coordinated Federal Program will be a Council approved and prioritized document that delineates what issues, topics and specific items into which the city wants input. The federal agenda may include grant opportunities, line-item appropriations, statute changes and regulation revisions. In addition, the program contains a plan and strategy for sustaining a long-term city federal program.

### *Community Benefit*

---

- Development of a Glendale Coordinated Federal Program will allow the city to delineate issues of importance to the city and the residents, and to take action on those issues to assure the views of the residents are represented at the federal level.

- The Federal Program will also provided the venue for the city to focus on federal issues of concern to the community, which will enhance the ability of the city to deliver superior services and to address quality of life issues for the residents of Glendale.

## *Direction/Policy Guidance*



---

Staff is seeking direction from the Council on the following:

1. The Glendale Coordinated Federal Program Plan and Strategy
2. The proposed federal legislative agenda



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

02/01/2005

Item No. 3

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PREPARED BY: Miryam Gutier, Intergovernmental Programs Director

SUBJECT: **2005 STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE**

### *Purpose*

---

- This standing workshop item provides an opportunity to update the City Council on legislative bills and issues that may impact the city and that may also require immediate policy direction.
- The Intergovernmental Programs staff once again recommends prioritizing the legislative agenda to a few key issues to allow the city to have a stronger, more consistent message on the items of greatest priority. The proposed key priority issues for your consideration are described in the attached report.

### *Background*

---

- The legislative agenda defines the city's priorities for the upcoming session and will guide the city's lobbying activities at the Arizona State Legislature. The Intergovernmental Programs staff will come before the Council on a regular basis throughout the session for direction on bills and amendments that may be introduced. The city's legislative agenda is a flexible document and may change, based on activities at the Legislature and Council direction.
- The first legislative agenda for 2005 was provided to Council during the January 04, 2005 workshop and included staff recommendations on general legislative policy issues. Council provided policy direction on the 2005 Glendale legislative agenda.
- The 47<sup>th</sup> Legislature's 1<sup>st</sup> regular session began on Monday, January 10, 2005.

### *Community Benefit*

---

- The key principles of Glendale's legislative agenda are to preserve and enhance the city's ability to deliver quality and cost-effective services to citizens and visitors; to address quality of life issues for Glendale residents, and to enhance the City Council's ability to

serve the community by retaining local decision making authority and maintaining fiscally balanced revenue sources.

## *Direction/Policy Guidance*



---

Review and provide staff direction on the proposed legislative issues.