

GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP SESSION
Council Chambers – Workshop Room
5850 West Glendale Avenue
February 21, 2006
1:30 p.m.

WORKSHOP SESSION

1. [INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT UPDATE- GLENDALE REGIONAL PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING CENTER](#)
2. [UTILITIES NEEDS ASSESSMENT UPDATE](#)
3. [COUNCIL SALARY REVIEW COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION](#)

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

This report allows the City Manager to update the City Council about issues raised by the public during Business from the Floor at previous Council meetings or to provide Council with a response to inquiries raised at previous meetings by Council members. The City Council may only acknowledge the contents to this report and is prohibited by state law from discussing or acting on any of the items presented by the City Manager since they are not itemized on the Council Workshop Agenda.

COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

EXECUTIVE SESSION

1. LEGAL MATTERS
 - A. The City Council will meet with the City Attorney for legal advice, discussion and consultation regarding the city's position in pending and contemplated litigation, including settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation. (A.R.S. §§38-431.03 (A)(3)(4)).
2. LEGAL MATTERS – PROPERTY & CONTRACTS
 - A. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to consider its position and provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position in connection with the possible acquisition of property located at approximately 19555 North 59th Avenue, which property is the subject of negotiations. (A.R.S. §§ 38-431.03 A(3), A(4) and A(7))

- B. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager, to receive an update, to consider its position, and to provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager, or secure legal advice from the City Attorney regarding Glendale's position in connection with contractual negotiations associated with economic development opportunities along the Bell Road corridor. (A.R.S. §§ 38-431.03 A(3)(4)(7))

3. PERSONNEL MATTERS

- A. Various terms have expired on Boards and Commissions. The City Council will be discussing appointments involving the following Boards and Commissions. (A.R.S. §38-431.03 A.1)

1. Ad-Hoc Event Advisory Committee
2. Arts Commission
3. Aviation Advisory Commission
4. Board of Adjustment
5. Citizens Advisory Commission On Neighborhoods
6. Citizens Bicycle Advisory Committee
7. Citizens Transportation Oversight Commission
8. Commission On Persons With Disabilities
9. Community Development Advisory Committee
10. Historic Preservation Commission
11. Housing Advisory Commission
12. Industrial Development Authority
13. Judicial Selection Advisory Board
14. Library Advisory Board
15. Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission
16. Personnel Board
17. Planning Commission
18. Public Safety Personnel Retirement System/Police Board
19. Risk Management/Worker's Compensation Trust Fund Board

Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(1));
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(2));
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3));
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(4));
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(5)); or

- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(7)).

Confidentiality Requirements Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03 (C)(D): Any person receiving executive session information pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02 shall not disclose that information except to the Attorney General or County Attorney by agreement of the City Council, or as otherwise ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.



CITY OF GLENDALE

Council Communication

Workshop Agenda

02/21/2006

Item No. 1

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PRESENTED BY: Jim Higgins, Assistant Chief, Fire Department
Kristin Greene Skabo, Deputy Director, Intergovernmental Programs

SUBJECT: [INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT UPDATE-
GLENDALE REGIONAL PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING
CENTER](#)

Purpose

- This is a request for City Council discussion on a proposed intergovernmental agreement with the cities of Avondale, Surprise and Peoria; and the Maricopa County Community College District (MCCCD) to delineate the financial and operational partnership with the city in the Glendale Regional Public Safety Training Center.

Council Policies Or Goals Addressed

- The intergovernmental agreement addresses the Council's goal of constructing the Glendale Regional Public Safety Training Center with established municipal and educational partnerships.

Background

- In the 1999 general obligations bond package, the City of Glendale Fire and Police Chiefs identified a need for a Regional Public Safety Training Center in the West Valley. The Valley's current training accommodations are at critical limits. The growth of the metropolitan area along with the projected attrition of personnel for the next decade has created the need for a regional training Center that allowed partnerships with other West Valley cities.

Previous Council/Staff Actions

- Council approved \$33,843,433 in the FY 2005/06 and FY 2006/07 budgets for the first phase of the construction of the Regional Public Safety Training Facility and the Emergency Operations Center.

Community Benefit

- Partnerships with various West Valley cities and with the MCCCCD will enhance the function and value of the training and continuing education of police and fire personnel, and ensure the number of trained public safety staff available is secured for the protection of the community.

Budget Impacts & Costs

- As indicated, Council budgeted \$33,843,433 for the first phase of the Regional Public Safety Training Center and the Emergency Operations Center. Potential partnerships with the cities of Avondale, Surprise and Peoria for fire personnel training, and with MCCCCD for fire and police training allowed the scope of the training Center to expand to accommodate partner capacity. The partners' pro rata share of the project is based on the anticipated number of recruit and on-going training hours needed.
- If approved, intergovernmental agreements with the three cities and MCCCCD will provide \$11,795,514 toward the capital construction costs. In addition, the federal government granted \$150,000 toward the project, for a total of \$11,945,514 in financial partnerships. Subsequently, the Regional Public Safety Training Center budget for Phase I will be \$47,050,000, which includes \$2 million for a market increase in materials.

| Partner | Pro Rata Share of Project | Phase I Contribution |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Avondale | 3.9% | \$1,750,759 |
| Surprise | 6.6% | \$2,962,823 |
| Peoria | 6.5% | \$2,917,932 |
| MCCCCD | 8.2% | \$4,164,000 |
| Federal Government | | \$ 150,000 |
| | | \$11,945,514 |

- The intergovernmental agreements also provide for the partners to participate in the annual operations and maintenance budget commensurate with their pro rata share of participation in the project. (Listed above)

Direction/Policy Guidance

Staff is seeking guidance to bring the Glendale Regional Public Safety Training Center intergovernmental agreement to the regular business meeting for Council approval.



CITY OF GLENDALE

Council Communication

Workshop Agenda

02/21/2006

Item No. 2

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PRESENTED BY: Kenneth A. Reedy, P.E., Deputy City Manager
Roger S. Bailey, P.E., Utilities Director

SUBJECT: **UTILITIES NEEDS ASSESSMENT UPDATE**

Purpose

- This is an update to City Council on Glendale's Utilities Needs Assessment.

Council Policies Or Goals Addressed

- Timely expansion, replacement and rehabilitation of the city's utilities infrastructure is consistent with the Council goals of managing growth and coordinating exceptional service delivery by assuring that the city will continue to provide uninterrupted water and sewer service to its customers while remaining in compliance with regulatory standards.

Background

- Evaluations of the water and wastewater systems were completed in late 2003 in order to provide staff a comprehensive, detailed report on the future needs of the city's utilities. The evaluations included a series of recommendations for the design and construction of new facilities and infrastructure and the rehabilitation of existing facilities and infrastructure.

Previous Council/Staff Actions

- At the April 5, 2005 Council Workshop, Utilities staff presented an update on the comprehensive infrastructure needs assessment of the Utilities Department. The needs assessment focused on improvements that are necessary in order to meet projected demand on the system due to growth within the city; the replacement of aging infrastructure; and improvements necessary to remain in compliance with existing and proposed federal

regulations. As a part of the discussion, staff presented a summary of the city's current and projected water supply and demand. In addition, a proposed schedule of new water treatment facilities was outlined to demonstrate how the city would utilize its water resources to meet future demand on the system.

- Council was provided a detailed and comprehensive analysis of the city's water and wastewater treatment services and infrastructure needs on the following dates in 2003: October 7, November 4, December 2 and December 16. At the December 16, 2003 workshop, Council directed staff to present a Utilities Needs Assessment update on an annual basis.

Community Benefit

- The expansion, replacement and rehabilitation of the utilities infrastructure will ensure that Glendale maintains its long history of providing quality water and wastewater services to its residents and businesses, while complying with all local, state and federal regulations.

Direction/Policy Guidance

This is a status report on Glendale's Utilities Needs Assessment. No Council action is requested.



CITY OF GLENDALE

Council Communication

Workshop Agenda

02/21/2006

Item No. 3

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PRESENTED BY: Craig Tindall, City Attorney

SUBJECT: [COUNCIL SALARY REVIEW COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION](#)

Purpose

- This is a request for City Council to receive public input in response to the findings and recommendation of the Council Salary Review Commission (“Commission”) and provide direction to staff.

Background

- The City Charter provides that a salary commission may be convened to review Council salaries and recommend any changes to the Council. The Council may reject, modify or accept the Commission’s recommendations and thereafter place any suggested changes to the Council salaries on the ballot of the next city election.
- The annual salaries of the Mayor and Councilmembers of the City of Glendale were last reviewed in 1996, which resulted in a recommendation for an increase. This recommendation was accepted by Council and referred to the voters on May 19, 1998. The current annual salary of the Mayor is \$35,000 and a councilmember is \$17,500.
- On October 25, 2005, the Council adopted Resolution No. 3899, New Series, that convened a Commission; appointed members; and set forth instructions and charges. The five (5) members of the Commission are:

Leonard Fulcher, Chairman
Albert Cordova, Commissioner
Robert Erdmann, Commissioner
Joy Gomez, Commissioner

Sally Reynolds, Commissioner

- The Commission met five times. To assist in its deliberations, the Commission asked staff to supply information and prepare a report containing job evaluation information and salary comparison data.
- At its last meeting on January 3, 2006, the Commission finalized its report and voted unanimously to recommend to the Council that it place two questions on the ballot at the next primary election in September of 2006 proposing the following: (1) set the salary of Mayor to \$65,000; and (2) set the salary of Councilmembers to \$45,000.
- Chairman Leonard Fulcher, Commissioners Gomez and Erdmann were present at the Council Workshop on January 17, 2006 and presented the Commission's report and recommendation.

Previous Council/Staff Actions

- On October 25, 2005, the Council adopted Resolution No. 3899, New Series, convening the Commission; appointing members; and setting forth instructions and charges.
- On January 17, 2006 the Council was presented with the Commission's report and recommendation. The Council requested that this item be brought back to them at the February 21, 2006 Workshop in order for the public to comment on the Commission's recommendation.

Budget Impacts & Costs

- No budget impacts or costs for this current fiscal year. Should the voters approve a salary increase, that increase will be implemented when the newly elected members are sworn in and will be accommodated through the budgeting process.

Direction/Policy Guidance

Staff is seeking direction from Council about whether to bring the following items forward to an evening meeting:

1. A resolution to place this item on the September 12, 2006 primary election ballot.
2. Providing the language for two questions for the primary election ballot.
 - a. Request to raise the Mayor's salary to \$65,000.
 - b. Request to raise the Councilmember's salaries to \$45,000.