



**GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP SESSION**  
**Council Chambers – Workshop Room**  
**5850 West Glendale Avenue**  
**March 20, 2007**  
**1:30 p.m.**

**WORKSHOP SESSION**

1. [ARIZONA SUPER BOWL HOST COMMITTEE 2011 BID REQUEST](#) – 60 MINUTES
2. [FY 2006-07 SECOND QUARTER GENERAL FUND STATUS REPORT ON REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES AND GENERAL FUND REVENUE PROJECTION FOR FY 2007-08](#) – 45 MINUTES
3. [2007 STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE UPDATE AND REGIONAL OFFICE CENTER PRESENTATION](#) – 30 MINUTES

**CITY MANAGER'S REPORT**

**This report allows the City Manager to update the City Council about issues raised by the public during Business from the Floor at previous Council meetings or to provide Council with a response to inquiries raised at previous meetings by Council members. The City Council may only acknowledge the contents to this report and is prohibited by state law from discussing or acting on any of the items presented by the City Manager since they are not itemized on the Council Workshop Agenda.**

**COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS**

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

1. LEGAL MATTERS
  - A. The City Council will meet with the City Attorney for legal advice, discussion and consultation regarding the city's position in pending and contemplated litigation,

including settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation. (A.R.S. §§38-431.03 (A)(3)(4)).

## 2. LEGAL MATTERS – PROPERTY & CONTRACTS

A. Discussion/consultation with the City Attorney and City Manager to consider its position and provide instruction/direction to the City Attorney and City Manager regarding Glendale's position in connection with contractual negotiations associated with economic development opportunities in the area west of 99th Avenue between Bethany Home Road to Glendale Avenue. (A.R.S. §§38-431.03 A(3)(4)(7))

**Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:**

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(1));
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(2));
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3));
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(4));
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(5)); or
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(7)).

**Confidentiality Requirements Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03 (C)(D): Any person receiving executive session information pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.02 shall not disclose that information except to the Attorney General or County Attorney by agreement of the City Council, or as otherwise ordered by a court of competent jurisdiction.**



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

03/20/2007

Item No. 1

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

SUBJECT: **ARIZONA SUPER BOWL HOST COMMITTEE**  
**2011 BID REQUEST**

### *Purpose*

---

- This is a request for City Council to provide direction on a request from the Arizona Super Bowl Host Committee (Host Committee) to support a bid to host Super Bowl XLV in 2011.
- The deadline for the Host Committee to submit a bid to the National Football League (NFL) is April 2, 2007.

### *Background*

---

- On Friday February 9, 2007, staff received materials from the Host Committee with regard to the City of Glendale's requirements for the NFL Bid for Super Bowl XLV in 2011.
- Michael Kennedy, chair of the Host Committee, presented information regarding the committee's request at the February 20, 2007 City Council workshop.
- Council directed staff to work with the Host Committee regarding proposed Glendale bid expectations and return to a future workshop.
- On Monday, March 5, 2007, staff had a positive meeting with Mr. Kennedy, Ms. Debbie Wardrop and Ms. Sallie Sargent to discuss the bid elements related to Glendale. The meeting focused on three major topics:
  - The city's estimated \$3.5 million in expenses related to a 2011 Super Bowl and the city's need to look for opportunities to generate revenue to offset these costs;
  - Host Committee position on Major Event Public Safety Reimbursement Fund legislation; and

- The identification of 30,000 parking spaces required by the NFL.
- The Host Committee representatives understood city expenses and the need to identify revenue generating opportunities. Chairman Kennedy said he would talk with the Host Executive Committee regarding support for the public safety reimbursement legislation.
- The Arizona Super Bowl Host Committee will submit 14,000 stadium parking spaces as part of the total 30,000 spaces required for the NFL bid specifications. The City of Glendale will provide its 11,385 already programmed spaces. Discussions are taking place between the Host Committee and Glendale Arena for the additional parking spaces required to meet the 30,000 spaces.
- Staff was also directed at the February 27<sup>th</sup> meeting to come back with additional information including:
  1. Data on the return on investment for Glendale for Super Bowl 2008
  2. An accounting of the \$7M allocated for “National Events,” including how much is remaining and what will be spent on the 2008 Super Bowl
  3. Data on the return on investment from the 2007 Fiesta and BCS Bowls
  4. How much is spent annually in Glendale for Special Events and Tourism produced by the city?
  5. What is the return on investment for these events?
  6. Data/statistics on number of media references made to Glendale based on the Super Bowl and how much these references would have cost in real dollars
  7. Quantification of businesses/development attracted to Glendale because of the 2008 Super Bowl
- The city has engaged the services of Elliott Pollack to complete an economic impact analysis related to the Super Bowl that will address item one. Information will not be complete until the 2008 Super Bowl has been held and assessed.
- Preliminary information regarding the National Events Fund indicates net costs to the city at \$3,423,000 leaving a fund balance of \$3,577,000. 2008 Super Bowl supplementals coming forward for FY07/08 equal \$3,411,000.
- Background information on items three through six are attached to this Council Communication.
- With regard to item seven, staff has consulted with economic development experts who have indicated that it is not possible to predict or quantify the future related to Super Bowl business development. Economic impact and business attraction/development can only be measured after the event; however, due to the complex nature and reasoning organizations may employ in their decision to locate to Glendale, the Super Bowl may not be the only contributing factor to development.

- On the afternoon of Thursday, March 15, 2007, the Host Executive Committee met to discuss future support of Major Event Public Safety Reimbursement Fund legislation. It is our understanding that during that meeting the Host Committee agreed to move forward with the concepts that were discussed during the March 5, 2007 meeting. It is further our understanding that the Host Committee has agreed in principle to support legislation to assist Glendale for public safety reimbursement and agreed to seek revenue generating opportunities to offset Glendale's expenses. This, in conjunction with the Host Committee's affirmation that Glendale staff will work directly with the Host Committee and NFL should we be successful in the 2011 bid, meets the previous requests that were made.

## *Community Benefit*

---

- Hosting an event of the magnitude of the Super Bowl brings great prestige and promotion to Arizona, the Valley and Glendale. Once Super Bowl XLII is held next year, a comprehensive assessment of both the expenses and revenues to Glendale will be completed.

## *Policy Guidance*

---

- This bid can be anticipated as the normal outcome of having a state-of-the-art facility in our community.
- Staff is requesting Council provide policy direction related to the Arizona Super Bowl Host Committee's request to support the Super Bowl Bid for 2011.
- If directed to move forward, the supporting resolutions will be brought forward for a formal vote at the March 27, 2007 City Council meeting.
- If the bid is awarded, staff will provide funding options for the \$3.5 million projected expenses via legislation or budgetary set asides over a period of time based on the date of selection.



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

03/20/2007

Item No. 2

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager  
PRESENTED BY: Art Lynch, Deputy City Manager  
Raymond H. Shuey, Chief Financial Officer/Finance Director  
Sherry M. Schurhammer, Management & Budget Director

SUBJECT: [FY 2006-07 SECOND QUARTER GENERAL FUND STATUS REPORT ON REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES AND GENERAL FUND REVENUE PROJECTION FOR FY 2007-08](#)

### *Purpose*

---

- This is a request for City Council to review the FY 2006-07 second quarter report on General Fund (GF) revenue and expenditures, as well as the FY 2007-08 GF revenue projection.

### *Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed*

---

- The FY 2006-07 GF second quarter report and the FY 2007-08 GF revenue projection is consistent with the Council's goal of ensuring the city's financial stability by conducting timely reviews of expenditures and revenues.

### *Background*

---

- In response to Council requests, staff committed to providing quarterly reports on the GF beginning with FY 2003-04.
- The GF revenue projection for the upcoming FY has been presented with the second quarter report on the GF since 2004.

Second Quarter General Fund

- The GF's second quarter revenue budget and actuals, as well as a comparison with the second quarter of last FY, are as follows (in 000s):

	<b>FY 2006-07 Annual Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2nd Qtr Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2nd Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>FY 2005-06 2nd Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>% Change FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07</b>
City Sales Tax	\$62,172	\$ 31,086	\$ 32,776	\$ 29,349	+ 12%
State Income Tax	\$24,961	\$ 12,481	\$ 13,762	\$ 11,455	+ 20%
State Sales Tax	\$21,919	\$ 10,959	\$ 11,074	\$ 11,039	----
State MV In-Lieu	\$10,209	\$ 5,105	\$ 4,998	\$ 5,098	( 2%)
HURF	\$15,838	\$ 7,919	\$ 8,257	\$ 8,046	+ 3%
Primary Prop Tax	\$3,784	\$ 1,892	\$ 2,001	\$ 1,969	+ 2%
All Other	\$29,316	\$ 14,658	\$ 15,586	\$ 14,743	+ 6%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$168,199</b>	<b>\$ 84,100</b>	<b>\$ 88,454</b>	<b>\$ 81,699</b>	<b>+ 8%</b>

- The current FY's second quarter revenues are \$ 6.7 million (8%) more than last FY's second quarter revenues.
- The current FY's second quarter GF revenue receipts are \$4.3 million (5%) more than budgeted.
- City sales tax collections were almost \$32.8 million. This amount is approximately \$1.7 million (5%) more than budget. The \$32.8 million is \$3.4 million (12%) more than second quarter receipts in FY 2005-06.
- State-shared revenues collections were \$29.8 million. This amount is approximately \$1.3 million (4.5%) more than budgeted. The three components of state-shared revenue are shown below:
  - State income tax receipts were \$1.3 million (10%) more than expected;
  - State sales tax receipts were \$115,000 (1%) more than expected; and
  - Motor vehicle in-lieu receipts were \$107,000 (2%) less than expected.

- State-shared revenue receipts of almost \$29.8 million are \$2.2 million (8%) ahead of the \$27.6 million collected in the second quarter of FY 2005-06.
- HURF revenues are commonly called the gas tax even though there are several other transportation-related fees that comprise this revenue source. Much of this revenue source is based on the volume of fuel sold rather than the price of fuel. HURF receipts were \$338,000 (4%) ahead of budget.
- The FY 2006-07 second quarter budget expenditures and actuals for the GF operating and pay-as-you-go (PAYGO) capital expenditures are as follows (in 000s):

	<b>FY 2006-07 Annual Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>Amount Under/(Over ) Budget</b>
GF Salaries/Benefits	\$113,044	\$ 56,522	\$ 52,726	\$3,796
GF Non-Personnel	\$61,074	\$ 30,537	\$ 30,226	\$311
GF Debt Service (leases)	\$9,764	\$ 8,382	\$ 8,212	\$170
PAYGO Capital	\$9,107	\$ 4,553	\$ 3,299	\$1,254
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$192,989</b>	<b>\$ 99,994</b>	<b>\$ 94,463</b>	<b>\$5,531</b>

- Overall, second quarter actuals were \$5.5 million less than the amount budgeted. Salary savings totaled almost \$3.8 million and non-salary savings totaled \$311,000. The actuals figure in the debt service category reflects the planned, budgeted one-time \$7 million payment for the Northern Crossing lease that occurred in the first quarter. The \$7 million payment was discussed with Council during the April 11, 2006 Workshop on the FY 2006-07 budget.
- At the end of the second quarter of FY 2006-07, the budget-basis GF fund balance was just over \$51 million, as illustrated below:

<b>Beginning Fund Balance</b>	\$62,415
Revenues	\$88,454
Expenses	(\$94,463)
Transfers Out	(\$ 4,900)
Fund Balance	\$51,506
Designated – National Events Fund	(\$ 500)
<b>Remaining Fund Balance</b>	<b>\$51,006</b>

Second Quarter Designated Sales Tax Receipts

- At the end of the second quarter, the transportation sales tax budget to actuals comparison, as well as last FY's second quarter actuals, are the following:

	<b>FY 2006-07 Annual Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>FY 2005-06 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>% Change FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07</b>
<b>Transportation Sales Tax</b>	\$23,475	\$11,737	\$12,987	\$11,343	15 %

- The current FY's second quarter revenues are \$1.6 million (15%) more than last FY's second quarter revenues.
- The current FY's second quarter revenues are \$1.2 million (11%) more than the second quarter budget.
- At the end of the second quarter, the public safety sales tax receipts were the following (in 000s):

	<b>FY 2006-07 Annual Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Budget</b>	<b>FY 2006-07 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>FY 2005-06 2<sup>nd</sup> Qtr Actuals</b>	<b>% Change FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07</b>
<b>Police sales tax</b>	\$3,123	\$ 1,561	\$1,733	\$1,499	15%
<b>Fire sales tax</b>	\$1,561	\$ 780	\$866	\$750	15%

- The current FY's second quarter police sales tax revenues are \$234,000 (15%) more than last FY's second quarter revenues.
- The current FY's second quarter fire sales tax revenues are \$116,000 (15%) more than last FY's second quarter revenues.
- For the current FY, the police component of the public safety sales tax was \$172,000 (11%) ahead of budget.
- For the current FY, the fire component of the public safety sales tax was \$86,000 (11%) ahead of budget.
- These receipts are not included in the GF city sales tax figure provided in the prior section of this report.

FY 2007-08 General Fund Revenue Projection

- The economy continues to grow robustly as reflected in the fact that the city’s GF revenue collections through the first six months of the current FY are \$6.7 million (8%) ahead of last FY’s receipts through the second quarter.
- The consensus among experts on the Arizona economy is that vibrant and strong growth will continue for the remainder of this year and next year. This expected growth is fueled by continued increases in population growth and job creation, and complemented by healthy gains in personal income.
  - According to Elliot Pollack, a recognized expert on the Arizona economy, Arizona was second in the country for job growth in 2005 and 2006. For population growth, Arizona was second in 2005 and first in 2006. These trends are expected to continue for the foreseeable future.
  - According to Marshall Vest, another recognized expert on the Arizona economy, personal income in the Phoenix metropolitan area grew 8.9% in 2005 and 10.4% in 2006.
  - Growth in personal income for the Phoenix metro area is estimated to be between 6.8% - 7.4% for 2007 and 6.6% in 2008 according to a range of experts on the Arizona economy.
- The GF ongoing revenue projection for FY 2007-08 is \$186.1 million. This projection is fiscally prudent and reasonable because it is based on past collections experience for the local and state economy for this year and expectations for next year.
- The following table reflects Glendale’s ongoing GF revenue actuals since FY 2001-02 as well as the estimate for this FY and the projection for next FY.

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Ongoing Actuals (1x revenues excluded)</b>	<b>% Change From Prior FY</b>
2001-02	\$116.5M	---
2002-03	\$122.2M	4.9%
2003-04	\$138.1M	13%
2004-05	\$146.5M	6.1%
2005-06	\$166.5M	13.6%
2006-07 estimate	\$173.7M	4.3% (estimate)
2007-08 projection	\$186.1M	7.1% increase from FY 2006-07 estimate

- The average annual growth rate was 9.4% between FY 2001-02 and FY 2005-06. This robust average annual growth rate is the result of very strong growth in city sales tax receipts and more moderate growth in state-shared revenue receipts.
- Once we include next FY's projection, there is expected to be an almost \$70 million, or 60%, increase in GF ongoing revenue since the end of FY 2001-02.
- This overall growth in GF ongoing revenues is significant because it means the city can support and maintain quality ongoing city services, as directed by Council, which contribute to a high quality of life for the Glendale community.
- The two major sources of revenue for the GF are city sales tax receipts and state-shared revenues. The sources have comprised between two-thirds and three-fourths of the GF ongoing revenue since FY 2001-02 and are expected to continue to do so for FY 2007-08.
- The following table shows Glendale's city sales tax actuals since FY 2001-02 as well as the estimate for this FY and the projection for next FY.

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>City Sales Tax Revenues</b>	<b>% Change From Prior FY</b>
2001-02	\$41.4M	---
2002-03	\$43.5M	5.1%
2003-04	\$49.8M	14.5%
2004-05	\$52.5M	5.4%
2005-06	\$59.7M	13.7%
2006-07 estimate	\$63.6M	6.5% (estimate)
2007-08 projection	\$67.5M	6.1% increase from FY 2006-07 estimate

- The average annual growth rate for city sales tax collections was 9.7% between FY 2001-02 and FY 2005-06.
- Once the FY08 projection is included, there is expected to be a \$26 million, or 63%, increase in city sales tax revenue since the end of FY 2001-02. As Glendale continues to grow its sports, entertainment, and retail destination point for Arizona and points beyond, this revenue source should continue to experience healthy growth.
- The expected growth for FY 2007-08 is in line with the forecasts of retail sales growth in the 5% - 7% range that Arizona economy experts have projected.
- The following table shows Glendale's state-shared revenue actuals since FY 2001-02 as well as the estimate for this FY and the projection for next FY.

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>State-Shared Revenue Actuals</b>	<b>% Change From Prior FY</b>
2001-02	\$46.9M	---
2002-03	\$49.0M	4.5%
2003-04	\$47.0M	(4.1%)
2004-05	\$49.7M	5.7%
2005-06	\$56.6M	13.9%
2006-07 estimate	\$61.1M	7.9% (estimate)
2007-08 projection	\$69.6M	13.9% increase from FY 2006-07 estimate

- The average annual growth rate for city sales tax collections was 5% between FY 2001-02 and FY 2005-06.
- Once the FY08 projection is included, there is expected to be an almost \$23 million, or 48%, increase in state-shared tax revenue since the end of FY 2001-02.
- State-shared revenue is comprised of three sources: state income tax, state sales tax, and motor vehicle in-lieu tax.
- The most significant component of state-shared revenue is income tax. This revenue source is primarily driven by personal income growth. Income tax revenue lags by two years so the state income tax receipts for FY 2007-08 will reflect the personal income growth experienced in 2006. As noted previously in this communication, personal income in the Phoenix metropolitan area grew 8.9% in 2005 and 10.4% in 2006 and is estimated to be between 6.8% - 7.4% for 2007 and 6.6% in 2008.
- Glendale's share of state income tax revenue is expected to increase 24%, from \$27.5 million in the current FY to \$34.1 million next FY. This sizable increase is expected because the state's net income tax collection in 2006 grew 28.5%, from \$3.5 billion in 2005 to \$4.5 billion in 2006.
- State sales tax collections are expected to increase about 6% next FY, consistent with the increase expected for city sales tax receipts.
- Motor vehicle in lieu collections are expected to increase a more modest 4% given that vehicle sales have slowed somewhat now that dealer incentives are less lucrative and gas prices have remained at an elevated level for an extended period of time.

## *Previous Council/Staff Actions*

---

- The FY 2005-06 second quarter report on the GF and FY 2006-07 GF revenue projection were presented to Council on March 14, 2006.

- The FY 2005-06 third quarter report on the GF was presented to Council on June 20, 2006.
- The FY 2005-06 fourth quarter report on the GF was presented to Council on November 21, 2006.
- The FY 2005-06 first quarter report on the GF was presented to Council on December 20, 2005.

## *Policy Guidance*



This is a status report on the General Fund covering the first two quarters of FY 2006-07 as well as the General Fund revenue projection for FY 2007-08. No Council guidance is requested on this report.



CITY OF GLENDALE

# Council Communication

## Workshop Agenda

03/20/2007

Item No. 3

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council

FROM: Ed Beasley, City Manager

PRESENTED BY: Dana Tranberg, Intergovernmental Programs Director

SUBJECT: [2007 STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE UPDATE AND REGIONAL OFFICE CENTER PRESENTATION](#)

### *Purpose*

---

- This is a request for City Council to provide direction on proposed state legislation, consistent with the approved 2007 state legislative agenda; provide an update on the 2007 federal legislative agenda; and provide direction on a proposed regional office center for the three regional transportation agencies, Maricopa Association of Governments, Valley Metro/Regional Public Transit Authority and Metro Rail.
- The purpose of the federal and state legislative agendas is to affect federal and state legislation and regulations as they relate to the interests of the city and its residents.

### *Council Strategic Goals or Key Objectives Addressed*

---

- The 2007 state and federal legislative agendas provide the policy framework by which Intergovernmental Programs staff engages on state and federal legislative issues.
- Throughout the 2007 legislative sessions, policy direction will be sought on proposed statutory changes which fall under the adopted council policy statements relating to the financial stability of the city, public safety issues, promoting economic development, managing growth and preserving neighborhoods.

### *Background*

---

#### Legislative Update

- The Intergovernmental Programs staff recommends prioritizing the state legislative agenda to a few key issues to allow the city to have a stronger, more consistent message on the items of greatest priority. The proposed key priority issues for consideration are described in the attached reports.
- The legislative agenda defines the city's priorities for the upcoming session and will guide the city's lobbying activities at the Arizona State Legislature. The Intergovernmental Programs staff will come before the Council on a regular basis throughout the session for direction on bills and amendments that may be introduced. The city's legislative agenda is a flexible document and may change, based on activities at the Legislature and Council direction.
- The Intergovernmental Programs Department has conducted a city-wide assessment of potential federal funding opportunities to be included in the 2007 federal legislative agenda. Based on this assessment, staff recommends pursuing federal funding opportunities, including grant opportunities, line-item appropriations, earmarks and regulation revisions in the areas of: transportation, public safety, homeland security, historic preservation, libraries, youth workforce development and economic development initiative projects.
- The Intergovernmental Programs Department returned at the February 20, 2007 workshop to present the comprehensive 2007 federal legislative agenda inclusive of funding requests and policy areas for engagement.

### **Regional Office Center**

- The City of Glendale is a member of three regional agencies who currently lease office space in downtown Phoenix: Maricopa Association of Governments, Valley Metro, and Metro Rail. The leases for these agencies are going to expire over the next several years and the agencies will face increased lease rates based on the current market. In addition, the agencies are facing growth needs beyond their current space.
- MAG has been holding a "Building Lease Working Group" over the last two years to consider alternative options to leasing and has recommended building a new Regional Office Center to allow all three regional agencies to be in a central location together.
- On March 5, 2007 MAG held a workshop session for member agencies to review the detailed financial analysis of the proposed building.
- At the March Board meetings of each of the three participating regional agencies, the Glendale representative will be asked if they support entering into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the Regional Office Center with the Phoenix Industrial Development Authority and the Regional Office Center LLC; and to execute a lease for 30 years for the Regional Office Center.

## *Previous Council/Staff Actions*

---

### **Legislative Update**

- On December 19, 2006, Council approved the 2007 State Legislative Agenda, which included policy statements on municipal legislative priorities and principles.
- On January 16, 2007, the Intergovernmental Programs staff presented legislative issues to the Council.
- On January 30, 2007, the Intergovernmental Programs staff presented state legislative and federal issues to the Council.
- On February 20, 2007, the Intergovernmental Programs staff presented state legislative issues and the 2007 federal legislative agenda to the Council.
- On March 6, 2007, the Intergovernmental Programs staff presented state legislative issues and a federal legislative update to the Council.

### **Regional Office Center**

- This is the first update to the Council on this item.

## *Community Benefit*

---

- The priorities and principles of Glendale's 2007 state legislative agenda provide the venue for the city to identify and engage on state legislative issues. The key principles of the state legislative agenda are: to preserve and enhance the city's ability to deliver quality and cost-effective services to citizens and visitors; to address quality of life issues for Glendale residents, and to enhance the City Council's ability to serve the community by retaining local decision making authority and maintain state legislative and voter commitments for revenue sources.
- Development of a 2007 federal legislative agenda provides the venue for the city to identify and engage on federal issues of concern to the community, which will enhance the ability of the city to deliver superior services and to address quality of life issues for the residents of Glendale.

## *Direction/Policy Guidance*

---

Staff is requesting Council to provide policy direction on the proposed state legislative issues and federal legislative program development. Staff is also requesting Council to provide policy direction on the proposed Regional Office Center (ROC).